

# ISB LAND BANK WEIGHTED EVALUATION MODEL

Lahiru Piyasena

## Land Bank of ISB Weighted Evaluation Model

This document outlines the weighted evaluation model for assessing prospective land parcels in the Land Bank system. The model helps determine the Land Investment Attractiveness Index (LIAI) — a composite score that reflects the suitability of land for industrial investment.

### 1. Evaluation Dimensions and Weights

This evaluation dimensions are based on criteria

| Category                                       | Key Criteria                                                              | Weight (%) | Rationale                                                      |
|------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|----------------------------------------------------------------|
| A. Infrastructure & Accessibility              | Roads, utilities, logistics access                                        | 30%        | Infrastructure is the top determinant of investment readiness. |
| B. Regulatory & Environmental Readiness        | Zoning, clearances, land title, environmental safety                      | 20%        | Legal and compliance risks can block investment.               |
| C. Economic & Market Attractiveness            | Land cost, incentives, nearby industries, labor                           | 20%        | Determines competitiveness and feasibility.                    |
| D. Physical & Technical Suitability            | Land size, shape, topography, soil type                                   | 15%        | Affects construction and operational costs.                    |
| E. Strategic Alignment & Institutional Support | Alignment with industrial clusters, government facilitation, future plans | 15%        | Long-term sustainability and policy alignment.                 |

### 2. Sub-Criteria Scoring Framework

Each land parcel is scored on a 1–5 scale across sub-criteria under each category, where 1 = Poor, 3 = Moderate, and 5 = Excellent. which give each criteria to be addressed

The following table summarizes sub-criteria under each evaluation dimension for each land what scoring based. There is a special scoring format (This will be available on annexures) for this which scores should be given to each sub criteria

| Category                          | Sub-Criteria                                                     | Scoring Basis                                      |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|
| A. Infrastructure & Accessibility | Road connectivity, power, water, waste, communication, logistics | Distance to infrastructure and service reliability |

|                               |                                                        |                                              |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|
| B. Regulatory & Environmental | Land title, zoning, environmental risks, permitting    | Clarity and compliance status                |
| C. Economic & Market          | Cost, incentives, labor, market proximity              | Regional competitiveness                     |
| D. Physical & Technical       | Topography, soil, plot shape                           | Suitability for industrial development       |
| E. Strategic & Institutional  | Cluster alignment, facilitation, development potential | Policy alignment and future growth potential |

## 2. Scoring Formula

With the scores of sub criteria we can develop a formula

Where:

- $W_i$  = Weight of each criteria on as a fraction, (e.g., 0.05)
- $S_i$  = Score (1 to 5)

**LIAI (Land Investment Attractiveness Index) =  $\Sigma (W_i \times S_i)$**

**The maximum score =  $5 \times 100\% = 500$  points  $\rightarrow$  normalized to 100.**

### Example Calculation:

- Site X scores:
  - Infrastructure = 4.5
  - Regulatory = 4.0
  - Economic = 3.5
  - Physical = 4.0
  - Strategic = 3.5

**LIAI =  $\Sigma (W_i \times S_i)$**

**LIAI =  $(0.30 \times 4.5) + (0.20 \times 4.0) + (0.20 \times 3.5) + (0.15 \times 4.0) + (0.15 \times 3.5) = 3.975$**

**Normalized Score =  $3.975 / 5 \times 100 = 79.5 / 100$**

✓ **Site X Land Attractiveness Score: 79.5 (High Potential)**

## 4. Decision Guidance

|             |                |                |
|-------------|----------------|----------------|
| Score Range | Interpretation | Recommendation |
|-------------|----------------|----------------|

|        |                |                                                    |
|--------|----------------|----------------------------------------------------|
| 85–100 | Excellent      | Prioritize for flagship/anchor investors           |
| 70–84  | High Potential | Suitable for promotion; minor improvements needed  |
| 50–69  | Moderate       | Invest in infrastructure upgrades                  |
| <50    | Low            | Reserve for future development or reclassification |

## 5. Implementation Tip

. Integrate this model into the Land Bank Portal. Each land entry can automatically calculate its LIAI score based on input data. Display results visually (e.g., '★ 82/100 – High Potential') and allow investors to filter lands by attractiveness, cost, or infrastructure readiness

## 6. ANNEXURES

|                                                    |                                   |                                          |
|----------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| <b>A. Infrastructure &amp; Accessibility (30%)</b> | Road connectivity (5%)            | Distance to major road/port/rail         |
|                                                    | Power supply (7%)                 | Capacity, reliability                    |
|                                                    | Water availability (5%)           | Source and quality                       |
|                                                    | Waste management (3%)             | Sewage & disposal facilities             |
|                                                    | Communication (5%)                | Internet & telecom access                |
|                                                    | Proximity to logistics hubs (5%)  | Port, airport, industrial park           |
| <b>B. Regulatory &amp; Environmental (20%)</b>     | Land title clarity (8%)           | Clean, dispute-free title                |
|                                                    | Zoning compliance (5%)            | Industrial zoning status                 |
|                                                    | Environmental risk (4%)           | Flood zone, contamination                |
|                                                    | Required clearances (3%)          | Ease of permitting                       |
| <b>C. Economic &amp; Market (20%)</b>              | Land cost (6%)                    | Price relative to regional average       |
|                                                    | Tax/incentive policy (5%)         | Availability of benefits                 |
|                                                    | Labor availability (4%)           | Skills and wage levels                   |
|                                                    | Market proximity (5%)             | Access to suppliers/customers            |
| <b>D. Physical &amp; Technical (15%)</b>           | Topography (5%)                   | Flat/undulating                          |
|                                                    | Soil bearing capacity (5%)        | Suitable for industrial use              |
|                                                    | Plot configuration (5%)           | Shape, expansion potential               |
| <b>E. Strategic &amp; Institutional (15%)</b>      | Cluster alignment (7%)            | Near target industry zones               |
|                                                    | Government facilitation (5%)      | One-stop clearance, ISB support          |
|                                                    | Future development potential (3%) | Planned infrastructure, city master plan |